

AS - Ayman Badawi MTH-512-Advanced Linear Algebra-01 Section 01

Project Title: Course Evaluation: Fall 2019

Course Audience: **5**Responses Received: **3**Response Ratio: **60.0%**

Report Comments

INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results gathered during the online course-instructor evaluations. Students were invited to share their feedback on the teaching and the course material, ultimately to help improve the overall quality of education at our institution.

It is now our collective duty to turn this insight into action.

As part of this mission, all instructors receive an Individual Report for developmental purposes i.e. to identify strengths and areas for improvement in regard to their teaching methods. Contents include graphs, tables, rankings, frequencies, statistics, and comments, as well as reflective questions to help guide you in the preparation of your personal development plan.

We urge every Faculty Member to diligently examine all the analysis, to seek to understand it, to take note of patterns, to draw logical conclusions and to take it upon yourself to act on the valuable feedback your students have taken the time to provide.



Creation Date: Sunday, December 22, 2019



Comparative Score



1.00

2.00


3.00

4.00


5.00

0.00


4. The textbook(s) and supplemented material were useful to your understanding of the course content




5. The assignments and reading material were helpful in improving your understanding of the subject




6. The classroom interaction helped you learn and understand the material




7. The course made you want to learn more about the subject








9. The course had high standards compared to other courses



10. The course objectives were accomplished



11. Overall, this course was excellent



Breakdown

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

	SA	А	N	D	SD	N	Moon	Median	Mode	Std Dev	Dept N	Dept Mean	Dept Pct Rnk	College N	College Mean	College Pct Rnk
The course was well organised	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	1118	4.35	98	5314	4.35	97
The course objectives were carefully and clearly defined	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.67	5.00	5	0.58	1118	4.37	73	5314	4.37	73
The course grading scheme was clearly defined	1	1	1	0	0	3	4.00	4.00	3,4,5	1.00	1118	4.40	12	5314	4.38	15
The textbook(s) and supplemented material were useful to your understanding of the course content	0	1	1	1	0	3	3.00	3.00	2,3,4	1.00	1118	4.08	3	5314	4.08	4
The assignments and reading material were helpful in improving your understanding of the subject	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.67	5.00	5	0.58	1118	4.17	88	5314	4.21	83
The classroom interaction helped you learn and understand the material	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.33	4.00	4	0.58	1118	4.19	52	5314	4.26	45
The course made you want to learn more about the subject	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.33	5.00	5	1.15	1118	3.90	76	5314	3.97	67
The course was demanding compared to other courses	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.00	5.00	5	1.73	1118	3.88	53	5314	3.86	56
The course had high standards compared to other courses	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.33	5.00	5	1.15	1118	3.94	80	5314	3.94	79
The course objectives were accomplished	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.67	5.00	5	0.58	1118	4.32	80	5314	4.32	80
Overall, this course was excellent	1	1	1	0	0	3	4.00	4.00	3,4,5	1.00	1118	4.14	31	5314	4.13	33

Please enter below any comments on how the course should be improved

Comments
_
The course must have more information where I think it was not a graduate course it could be taken as undergraduate course

Please enter specific comments, if relevant, on the role that Information Technology plays or could play in this course


Comments	
_	

Other comments


Comments

The Assignment should be the core measure of evaluation in masters level. At masters level, Home assignment (once every two weeks) is good way to learn rather than midterms and exams. His assignments stretches students brain and give massive learning opportunity. Though I did not score good in few of the assignments, but those mistakes made me learn and understand the concepts more clearly.


Comparative Score









4. The instructor evaluated your work on time




5. The instructor's comments on your work were clear, specific and helpful




6. The instructor was very effective in helping you understand the course material



7. The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the subject





Breakdown

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

	SA	Α	Ν	D	SD	Ν	Mean	Median	Mode	Std Dev	Dept N	Dept Mean	Dept Pct Rnk	College N	College Mean	College Pct Rnk
The instructor started and finished the class on time	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	1118	4.66	94	5314	4.63	93
The instructor was ready to answer your questions	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.33	5.00	5	1.15	1118	4.57	23	5314	4.60	17
The instructor evaluated your work fairly	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.33	5.00	5	1.15	1118	4.50	26	5314	4.43	32
The instructor evaluated your work on time	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.67	5.00	5	0.58	1118	4.53	49	5314	4.48	60
The instructor's comments on your work were clear, specific and helpful	1	0	2	0	0	3	3.67	3.00	3	1.15	1118	4.34	13	5314	4.32	10
The instructor was very effective in helping you understand the course material	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.33	4.00	4	0.58	1118	4.32	34	5314	4.36	35
The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the subject	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	5.00	5	0.00	1118	4.53	95	5314	4.54	95
Overall, the instructor was excellent	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.33	4.00	4	0.58	1118	4.40	28	5314	4.40	34

Please provide your instructor with helpful suggestions for improving his/her effectiveness

Comments			
_			